Scientific name

*Sesbania sesban* (L.) Merr.

Synonyms

*Aeschynomene sesban* L.
*Emerus sesban* (L.) Kuntze
*Sesban aegyptiaca* Poiret
*Sesbania aegyptiaca* Poiret
*Sesbania confaloniana* (Chiov.) Chiov.
*Sesbania pubescens* sensu auct.

Family/tribe


Common names

Egyptian pea; jayanti, janti, puri (Indonesia); katuray, katodai (Philippines); yay-tha-kyee, yethugyi (Myanmar); snao kook (Cambodia); sapao lom (Laos); sami, saphaolom (Thailand); dien-dien (Vietnam).

Morphological description

Shrub or short-lived tree up to 8 m tall. Stem up to 12 cm in diameter, usually pubescent, sometimes becoming glabrous. Leaves, including a short petiole, 2-18 cm long, pinnately compound; leaflets in 6-27 pairs, linear, oblong, up to 26 mm x 5 mm, glabrous or almost so above, sometimes pubescent beneath, often pilose at the margins; stipules narrowly triangular, up to 7 mm long, pubescent. Racemes 2-20 flowered, up to 20 cm long; glabrous or sparsely pilose; peduncle up to 5 cm long; pedicels 4-12 mm long, glabrous. Pod subcylindrical, straight or slightly curved, up to 20-30 cm x 2-5 mm, straw-coloured, often with a brown or reddish-brown blotch over each septum, 10-15 seeded, glabrous. Seed subcylindrical, 3-4.5 mm x 2 mm x 2 mm, olive-green or brown, usually mottled. There are 55-80 seeds/g.

Distribution

Native to or naturalized in:

**Africa**: Egypt, Chad, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Somalia, Sudan, Kenya, Uganda, Cameroon, Rwanda, Cote D’Ivoire, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo, Angola, Malawi, Zambia, Zimbabwe, Botswana, South Africa - Natal, Transvaal, Swaziland.

**Asia**: Bahrain, Oman, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq, China (Yunnan), India, Pakistan, Cambodia, Laos, Thailand, Vietnam, Indonesia, Malaysia.

**Australia**: Northern Territory, Queensland.

Africa is the centre of diversity, with subsequent distribution most likely
by man.

**Uses/applications**

*S. sesban* has a long history of use in India, primarily as a green manure and a source of cut and carry forage. Planted, or assisted to establish as a volunteer, as an improved fallow in maize fields in southern and east Africa because it improves crop yields and provides fuelwood. Can be intercropped with corn, beans, cotton and many other field crops. Harvested leaves make a rich compost. Its leaves are a good source of protein for cattle and sheep. Used as a grazed forage in sub-tropical Australia and Kenya. Has been used as a reclamation species of saline spoils in southern China. It produces a light fuelwood suitable for cooking and charcoal production. It has been used as a live support for black pepper, grapes, cucurbits and betel vine and as a shade tree for coffee and turmeric.

**Ecology**

**Soil requirements**

Grows in a wide range of soils from loose sands to heavy clays. Tolerates saline soils (1.0% salt concentration in the seedling stage to 1.4% at maturity); alkaline soils (pH <10); and acidic soils, as well as water-logging and flooding. Tolerant of low P, but P application has a positive effect on growth and nodulation. Tolerant of metalliferous mine tailings high in Cu, Zn and Pb.

**Moisture**

*S. sesban* is native to monsoonal, semi-arid to sub-humid regions with 500-2,000 mm annual rainfall. Grows best where periodic waterlogging or flooding is followed by a progressively drier season.

**Temperature**

*S. sesban* is tolerant of cool highland-tropical or sub-tropical conditions, growing at up 2,300 m altitude in Kenya and as far south as 27° latitude in Australia. These environments experience cool winter temperatures, with mean monthly minimum temperatures for the coldest month of 7-10°C and average annual temperatures ranging from 17-20°C. Tolerates light frosts, but will be killed by heavy frost.

**Light**

Has moderate shade tolerance.

**Reproductive development**

Flowering of *S. sesban* is prolific and will occur within 12 months of sowing. It is photoperiod sensitive, flowering into short days (peak flowering occurs in April-May in Queensland, Australia). Seed matures in 2-3 months.

**Defoliation**


Defoliation

Normally used as a cut and carry species. With appropriate cutting management will persist for up to 5 years. Can be cut after the plant reaches 1-2 m height. Delaying cutting until the plant is >4 m tall, and low cutting at <50 cm, will result in plant deaths. Best results are achieved when *S. sesban* is cut to 75-100 cm height and some foliage is retained. Can be defoliated up to 5 times/year depending on use and environment. More frequent cutting will decrease the lifespan of the plants.

Direct grazing by cattle will result in considerable breakage of stems, but regrowth below the break is rapid. Direct grazing by goats resulted in 80% mortality because of ring-barking 8-20 cm above ground level. *S. sesban* is browsed by ruminants in its native range.

Fire

Unknown, unlikely to be tolerant to severe fire.

Agronomy

Guidelines for the establishment and management of sown pastures.

Establishment

Spatial arrangements depend on usage. As a fence it is planted at 1-2 m spacings in single rows; as an alley crop, single or double rows are planted 2-10 m apart, with plants spaced 25-50 cm apart within rows; and as a protein bank it is planted in rows 1-2 m apart with plants spaced 25-50 cm apart within rows.

*S. sesban* nodulates readily with native rhizobia and inoculation is not generally required. Its rapid early growth generally enables *S. sesban* to overcome weed competition easily and weed management is generally not required.

Scarification of seed is required to achieve uniform germination, although many publications report no requirement for scarification. Ninety-nine percent germination of a seed lot was achieved at 3-24 days after sowing. Seed should be acid or abrasively scarified in preference to hot-water scarification as the latter results in large percentages of non-viable seed.

Fertiliser

Not generally applied, although will respond to added P on deficient soils, especially during establishment.

Compatibility (with other species)

Grown in hedgerows 2-10 m apart with crops or pasture grasses in the inter-rows. Its rapid growth can prove overly competitive with understorey companion crops.

Companion species

Grasses: Grown in hedgerows with para grass (*Brachiaria mutica*) in India and experimentally with signal grass (*Brachiaria decumbens*) in...
Australia.
Legumes: As an improved fallow, *S. sesban* has been grown in combination with *Macroptilium atropurpureum* (siratro), *Tephrosia vogelii* or *Crotalaria grahamiana*.

**Pests and diseases**
The leaf-eating beetle, *Mesoplatys ochroptera*, can reduce forage yield if not controlled during establishment (2 months after planting). The weevil, *Alcidodes buho*, damages the plant and the larvae of *Azygophelps scalaris* bore through the stems. The bacterium, *Xanthomonas sesbaniae* affects the stems and foliage. The seeds are often destroyed by a number of bruchid and other beetles.

**Ability to spread**
Will not spread under grazing. May have some potential to spread in ungrazed situations.

**Weed potential**
*S. sesban* seeds prolifically but seed is short-lived unless stored at low temperature and humidity. Seedlings establish readily on moist bare soil. Despite this, *S. sesban* rarely develops as a serious weed.

**Feeding value**

**Nutritive value**
Crude protein content ranges from 25-30% of DM. In vitro digestibility varies considerably among accessions depending on polyphenolic compounds present and their concentrations. In an ILCA (now ILRI) evaluation, *S. sesban* had high N intake, high N retention and moderate faecal N, making it a useful source of protein for ruminants.

**Palatability/acceptability**
Moderately well accepted by ruminants in cut-and-carry feeding systems.
Under direct grazing in Queensland, Australia, young, novice cattle were slow to accept *S. sesban*, taking about 3 months to become fully accepting of the forage. Subsequent liveweight gains were excellent.

**Toxicity**
*S. sesban* does not contain condensed tannins, but does contain phenolic compounds including saponin which has spermicidal and haemolytic activity and was found to depress feeding activity in moth larvae. The inclusion of *S. sesban* in poultry diets (10% of diets) proved fatal to young chicks.
*S. sesban* ILCA (now ILRI) 1198 caused negative effects on oestrus in ewes when fed as a supplement at 13.3 g/kg DM liveweight, reducing the number of ewes showing oestrus by 30% of potential. In comparison, supplementation at 8.2 and 10.9 g/kg DM liveweight had no effect on oestrus in ewes. Other studies have reported reproductive irregularities when feeding high percentages (>30%) of *S. sesban* to
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Production potential

Dry matter

*S. sesban* has an advantage over most other tree forages in its rapid establishment. It was reported to attain a height of 4-5 m at 6 months after planting in India and produced 4 times the forage yield of *Leucaena leucocephala* at 3 months after planting in Australia. Under favourable conditions DM yields of up 20 t/ha/year have been achieved, with edible fraction ranging from 30-60% depending on cutting frequency and growing conditions.

Var. *nubica* accessions were generally found to be more productive and leafier than var. *sesban* accessions in agronomic trials in Australia.

Animal production

*S. sesban* cv. Mt Cotton grown in hedgerows in a signal grass (*Brachiaria decumbens*) pasture supported an average liveweight gain of 0.70 kg/head/day in yearling heifers over a 15-month period, compared with 0.40 kg/head/day for a N-fertilised signal grass control pasture. Pastures were direct grazed in this trial and some damage and death of individual *S. sesban* plants occurred.

Sheep fed *S. sesban* leaves in feeding trials gained 48 g/day over 90 days. This placed *S. sesban* with *Vicia dasycarpa* as the best of the legume hay treatments.

The literature varies as to the suitability of *S. sesban* as a feed for monogastrics, but there are reports of high mortalities to chickens.

Genetics/breeding

There is reported to be relatively little agronomic variation among 3 of the 5 varieties of *S. sesban* (var. *sesban*, var. *nubica*, and var. *bicolour*) despite botanical differences. Relatively little is known of var. *zambesiaca* and var. *punctata*. Relatively little selection and breeding work has been conducted with *S. sesban*, but considerable variation in presence and concentrations of soluble phenolic and insoluble proanthocyanidin compounds. There may be potential to develop cultivars that support high liveweight gains, with little or no negative effects on reproduction in ruminants.

*S. sesban* is primarily out-crossing where suitable pollinators and heterozygous individuals in neighbouring populations are available. Self-pollination may occur late in the flowering period if pollinators fail to visit the flowers.

Seed production

Seed production can be as high as 1-2 t/ha. Very susceptible to insect attack and should not be stored for more than 1 year.

Herbicide effects

No information available.
Strengths

- Rapid establishment and early growth.
- High nutritive quality for ruminants.
- Acid-soil, waterlogging and salinity tolerances.

Limitations

- Short-lived (1-5 years).
- Low palatability to novice animals.
- Requires specific cutting management for perenniation.
- Causes decreased reproductive performance in female ruminants when fed at high supplementation rates.

Other comments

Ground preparations from the flowers, leaves and seeds of *S. sesban* are reported to be effective contraceptives in rats and mice and may have future application in humans.

Selected references


Internet links

http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPC/doc/Publicat/Gut-shel/x5556e08.htm
http://www.winrock.org/forestry/factpub/factsh/SESBAN.HTM
http://www.treesftf.org/sesban.htm

Cultivars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Country/date released</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'Mt Cotton'</td>
<td>Australia (1994)</td>
<td>Sourced from ILRI as accession ILCA 15020 (now IL 15020). 'Mt Cotton' was</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
'Mt Cotton' (1994) was selected for its persistence, high DM production and strongly branching habit. ILCA 15022 (now ILRI 15022) was similarly productive and persistent in related trials.

### Promising accessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promising accessions</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ILRI 1198 and 15019</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Used in animal production and nutrition experiments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILRI 15022</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Used in animal production experiments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILRI accessions 1238, 1261, 1265, 1284, 1290, 10865, 15019, 15021, 15036</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Recommended by ILRI as elite accessions for forage production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILRI accessions 1303, 15020, 1215, 15018 and 1221</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Highest yielding of 74 S. sesban accessions evaluated for forage production in Tanga, Tanzania.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

The perennial species of *Sesbania* establish easily, grow in difficult sites and do not require complex management to maintain productivity. They have many attributes that make them attractive as multipurpose plants and potentially useful species for agricultural production systems.

Gillett (1963) suggested that the chief economic value of the genus *Sesbania* is likely to be as a green manure and livestock forage as nearly all of the species are palatable to stock. This section describes the species and their origins, their utilisation, productivity, management and forage value with particular emphasis on the agriculturally most important species - *S. sesban* and *S. grandiflora*.

Origins and Botanical Description

The exact origin of *S. grandiflora* is not known but it is considered native to many southeast Asian countries. A closely related species, *S. formosa*, is native to northern Australia (Burbidge 1965).

*Sesbania grandiflora* is a loosely branching tree up to 15 m tall. Its leaves are pinnately compound up to 30 cm long with 20-50 leaflets in pairs, dimensions 12-44 x 5-15 mm, oblong to elliptical in shape. Flowers are large, white, yellowish, rose pink or red with a calyx 15-22 mm long. The standard has dimensions up to 10.5 x 6 cm. Pods are long (20-60 cm) and thin (6-9 mm) with broad sutures containing 15-50 seeds (Figure 2.3.1).

The origins of *S. sesban* are also unclear but it is widely distributed and cultivated throughout tropical Africa and Asia. It is a short-lived shrub or small tree up to 8 m tall. Its leaves are pinnately compound, 2-18 cm long with 6-27 pairs of linear oblong leaflets (26 x 5 mm). The raceme has 2-20 flowers which are yellow with purple or brown streaks on the corolla. Pods are subcylindrical, straight or slightly curved up to 30 cm long and 5 mm wide containing 10-50 seeds (Figure 2.3.2). Five varieties of *S. sesban* are recognised botanically but their differences do not correlate strongly with their agricultural value. *Sesbania sesban* var. *sesban*, *S. sesban* var. *bicolor*
and *S. sesban* var. *nubica* are all similar and have been noted for their vigorous growth and high yields. The other lesser known varieties are *S. sesban* var. *zambesiaca* and *S. sesban* subsp. *punctata*. Unless otherwise stated, reference to *S. sesban* in this section will indicate the variety *S. sesban* var. *sesban*.

Fig. 2.3.1. Leaves, flowers and pod of *Sesbania grandiflora*.

Fig. 2.3.2. Leaves, flowers and pod of *Sesbania sesban*.
Environmental Adaptation

*Sesbania grandiflora* is well adapted to hot, humid environments and it does not grow well in the subtropics particularly in areas with cool season minimum
temperatures below about 10°C (Wood and Larkens 1987). On the other hand, *S. sesban* shows some cool tolerance. It grows well in the subtropics and is significant in extending the nitrogen fixing forage trees into cooler, higher elevation regions of the tropics up to 2,000 m (Anon. 1987a). Both species are outstanding in their ability to tolerate waterlogging and are ideally suited to seasonally environments. When flooded, they initiate floating adventitious roots and protect their stems, roots and nodules with spongy, aerenchyma tissue. Evans and Macklin (1990) report the rainfall range of *S. sesban* as 500-2,000 mm while *S. grandiflora* is adapted to higher rainfall conditions of 2,000-4,000 mm but will grow in areas receiving only 800 mm. Thus both species show some tolerance of moisture stress.

Another outstanding feature of both species is their tolerance of both saline and alkaline soil conditions (Hansen and Munns 1985). However, their tolerance of highly acid, aluminium saturated soils is not known.

**Geographical Areas of Use**

Until recently, the use of perennial *Sesbania* species has largely been restricted to south and southeast Asia. In India, these species have had a long history of agricultural use, primarily as green manures and as sources of forage (Anon. 1924, Whyte et al. 1953). Most of the early research on the use of perennial *Sesbania* for forage production was conducted in India (Patel 1966, Kareem and Sundararaj 1967).

In northern Thailand, Holm (1973) reported that *S. grandiflora* was an excellent supplement to dairy cows fed predominantly grass hay. In central Java, Sumarna and Suidono (1974), cited by Evans and Rotar (1987a), detailed a two-tier forage production system in which *S. grandiflora* was used in a mixture with other tree legumes. Nitits (1985) indicated that *S. grandiflora* is widely used in Bali and Lombok while Field (1989) reported it as the main source of high protein forage in Timor following the devastation of leucaena by the psyllid insect. Nao (1979) reported that leaves of *S. grandiflora* were used as a forage to supplement rice straw in animal diets and as a mulch for home gardens in the Mekong Delta of Vietnam.

The use of these species is now spreading to other regions. *Sesbania sesban* has shown particular promise in Ethiopia to altitudes of 2,000 m (Anon. 1987a). Dougall and Bogdan (1958) suggested that *S. sesban* is a useful browse in Kenya where it is commonly found growing on either stream banks or swamp edges. It is also reported to be cultivated for forage in Iraq (Townsend 1974) and west tropical Africa (Dalziel 1937). In coastal areas of the Chinese province of Liaoning, *Sesbania* species have been used for saline soil reclamation (den et al. 1965). *Sesbania grandiflora* has shown promise in Western Samoa (A. Ash, personal communication) and in the Solomon Islands. In a trial conducted in southeast Queensland where 71 tree and shrub species were evaluated, *S. sesban* was the most productive species in the first 18 months (Gutteridge 1990).

**Establishment**

The perennial *Sesbania* species are usually established from seed. There are reports (Evans and Rotar 1987a) that both *S. grandiflora* and *S. sesban* can be propagated vegetatively using stem cuttings but this is not a widespread practice. Seeds of *S. sesban* have a hard seed coat and scarification is recommended to ensure uniform seed germination. *Sesbania grandiflora*, however, is not hard-seeded and usually germinates well without scarification.

One of the major advantages of perennial *Sesbania* species over other forage trees and shrubs is their rapid early growth rates. Dutt et al. (1983) reported that *S. sesban* attained a height of 4-5 m in 6 months in India In an experiment comparing the productivity of *S. sesban* and *S. sesban* var. *nubica* with 15 other trees and
shrubs in northeast Thailand, Gutteridge and Akkasaeng (1985) found that they gave the highest yields (approximately 600 g edible dry matter/tree) in the first 6 months after planting. In southeast Queensland, shoot dry matter yield at 11 weeks after planting was 294, 239, 66, 25 and 21 g/m row for S. sesban, S. formosa, Leucaena leucocephala, Acacia angustissima and Calliandra calothyrsus respectively (Maasdorp and Gutteridge 1986). Woodhead (1992) also found that S. sesban established rapidly and grew much faster than six other tree legume species, reaching a height of 285 cm in 190 days. The rapid early growth rate of the Sesbania species could be exploited by combining them with other slower establishing species to provide earlier yield.

Productivity and Management

The yield potential of the perennial Sesbania species has been evaluated under a range of cultural practices throughout their area of use. Soil type, climate and management practices such as fertiliser use, height and interval of cutting as well as inter-cropping all affect yield.

High yields have been recorded under favourable growing conditions from a number of regions including Hawaii (Evans and Rotar 1987b), India (Gill and Patil 1983) and northern Australia (Palmer et al. 1989).

Cutting management has a very important influence on the productivity of perennial Sesbania species. Sesbania grandiflora cannot survive repeated cutting (Home et al. 1986, Evans and Rotar 1987a, Panjaitan 1988, Ella et al. 1989, Akkasaeng et al. 1989). Farmers in Lombok, Indonesia have devised a system where only the side branches of trees are cut for fodder leaving the main growing stem untouched. The trees are grown on rice paddy walls at 1.5-2 m intervals and forage is harvested in this manner for 3-4 years, yielding up to 2 kg dry matter per harvest per tree. When the foliage is no longer within easy reach the trees are felled and the long straight pole can be used for firewood or for construction (Gutteridge 1987).

By contrast, S. sesban thrives under repeated cutting and coppices readily with many branches arising from the main stem below cutting height (Figure 2.3.3). Cutting frequencies have generally been in the order of three or four cuts per annum but up to eight cuts per year have been taken in some areas (Gore and Joshi 1976). Yields have ranged from 4 to 12 t dry matter/ha/year depending upon location (Anon. 1924, Dutt et al. 1983, Galang et al. 1990).

Cutting height can also influence yield in S. sesban. Mune Gowda and Krishnamurthy (1984) reported higher yields at a low cutting height of 50 cm. However, in other reports, cutting at 76 cm was found to favour plant survival and productivity in India (Anon. 1924), while in southeast Queensland, a cutting height of 100 cm for S. sesban var. nubica gave higher yields than heights of 150 and 50 cm (Galang et al. 1990).

There are few studies on the reaction of perennial Sesbania species to direct grazing by livestock. There are several reports that both S. grandiflora and S. sesban are browsed (Gillett 1963, Lamprey et al. 1980, Dougall and Bogdan 1958) but no indication of their rate of recovery after browsing. P.R.D. Philp (personal communication) reported that young S. grandiflora trees were destroyed by goats grazing in the dry season in Sumbawa, Indonesia At Mt Cotton, southeast Queensland, goats grazed an 8 month old stand of S. sesban var. nubica that had reached a height of 3 m and 'ringbarked' the main stem 10-15 cm above ground level causing 75% plant mortality (Kochapakdee 1991).

The only long-term grazing study sighted was that of Gutteridge and Shelton (1991) in southeast Queensland. They reported the results of a 15 month cattle grazing study in which a 2 ha area of forage comprising 4 m wide rows of S. sesban
interplanted with *Brachiaria decumbens* was grazed by belmont red heifers at 1.5 beasts/ha. Although excellent liveweight gains were achieved (Figure 2.3.4), the grazing cattle caused breakage and splitting of many side branches of *S. sesban* trees. This was due to the brittle nature of these branches and resulted in a shortened longevity of trees from 5-6 years in an adjacent cutting management trial (Galang *et al.* 1990) to 2-3 years under grazing management.

**Nutritive Value**

Many reports in the literature confirm that the leaves and young branches of both *S. grandiflora* and *S. sesban* are readily eaten by ruminants such as cattle and goats (Verboom 1966, NAS 1979, Gohl 1981, and Hutagalung 1981). P.R.D. Philp (personal communication) observed that smallholder farmers in Timor, Indonesia preferred *S. grandiflora* to *Leucaena* stating that the former was more palatable and more nutritious for their stock.

**Fig. 2.3.3. Sesbania sesban cut at 6 week intervals in southeast Queensland.**

Most reports indicate that the crude protein content of both *S. sesban* and *S. grandiflora* foliage is generally greater than 20% and often above 25% (Table 2.3.1). Dry matter digestibility of *Sesbania* species is superior to that of most other tree and shrub legumes. In northeast Thailand, Akkasaeng *et al.* (1989) found that the *in vitro* dry matter digestibility of *S. grandiflora*, *S. sesban* and *S. sesban var. nubica* was 66, 75 and 66% respectively, all higher than that of 15 other tree legumes that were tested. van Eys *et al.* (1986) reported that *S. grandiflora* contained more crude protein but less fibre than *Gliricidia sepium* and *Leucaena leucocephala* while their *in vitro* dry matter digestibilities were 73.3, 65.2 and 62.2% respectively.

**Fig. 2.3.4. Mean liveweight gain of heifers grazing Sesbania sesban/signal grass mixture or signal grass alone fertilised with 200 kg N/ha/year.**

Singh *et al.* (1980), in a feeding trial with goats, reported dry matter digestibilities ranging from 66.5 to 71.4% for *S. sesban*. In an experiment reported by Reed and Soller (1987), *S. sesban* had the lowest acid detergent lignin (4% dry matter) and the highest N retention (1.2 g/day) of four browse species. Ahn *et al.* (1989) reported
that the nylon bag dry matter digestibility and nitrogen digestibility of dried leaf of *S. sesban* was 90.7% and 96.7% respectively. The digestibilities of *S. sesban* were the highest of the 12 forage trees tested in the experiment.

These data together with the generally low crude fibre content and high phosphorous levels indicate the potential of these species as high quality forage sources.

**Animal Production**

Data from feeding experiments with perennial *Sesbania* species are limited. In most instances the herbage of *Sesbania* has been fed as a supplement to low quality straws or grasses and for relatively short periods.

Table 2.3.1. Chemical composition of *S. grandiflora, S. formosa* and *S. sesban* (% dry matter).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Total CHO</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. grandiflora</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flowers</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. formosa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Total CHO</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. sesban</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fresh leaf</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dried leaf</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CP = crude protein  
CF = crude fibre  
CHO = carbohydrate  


In experiments in Java, NAS (1979) reported that 1.8 kg of fresh *S. grandiflora* leaf fed daily to cattle on a rice straw diet achieved growth increases comparable to those obtained by feeding formulated diets. Robertson (1988) obtained a growth rate of 7 g/kg$^{0.75}$/day with goats fed dried *S. sesban* as a 30% supplement to rice straw over a period of 4 weeks. Singh et al. (1980) found that goats fed a diet of *S. sesban* forage *ad lib* for a period of 8 weeks gained an average of 17.1 g/head/day compared with 30.3 g/head/day when 20% of the forage was replaced with a concentrate mixture.

In the previously mentioned study of cattle grazing *S. sesban*, Gutteridge and Shelton (1991) reported liveweight gains of 0.7 kg/head/day over 15 months for
young heifers grazing a mixed *S. sesban/Brachiaria decumbens* pasture in southeast Queensland. This compared with liveweight gains of 0.4 kg/head/day for similar cattle grazing *B. decumbens* alone fertilised with 200 kg N/ha/year (Figure 2.3.4). The heifers were at first reluctant to graze *S. sesban* but once they became accustomed to it they consumed it readily at up to 20% of their diet.

Even though the perennial *Sesbania* species have generally higher *in vitro* digestibilities and better apparent nutrient status than many other browse trees, the liveweight gains achieved in feeding experiments are often no better than for other tree forages. This may be associated with anti-nutritive factors in the *Sesbania* forage. When van Eys *et al.* (1986) fed goats *Glicidia, Leucaena or S. grandiflora* as a 15% supplement to Napier grass hay, there was no difference between the legumes in intake or liveweight gain, which averaged 20 g/head/day.

When *Acacia seyal*, *A. nilotica* and *S. sesban* were fed as supplements to teff straw (*Eragrostis tef*) to both sheep and goats in Ethiopia, the growth rates of sheep fed the three supplements were 40, 42 and 35 g/head/day while those for goats were 19, 17 and 4 g/head/day respectively (Anon. 1987b). Even though the nitrogen digestibility and N balance of the *S. sesban* supplement were the highest of the three forages, lower growth rates occurred in both classes of animal. In contrast, Reed (1988) reported that sheep fed dried *S. sesban* as a supplement to teff straw gained weight at the relatively high rate of 48 g/day over 90 days. This was similar to weight gains of sheep fed vetch and more than double those fed *Acacia cyanophylla, A. sayel or A. sieberiana*. In Kenya, Semenye *et al.* (1987) reported that young goats fed a sole diet of *Sesbania sesban* hay developed severe ill-thrift symptoms after only 2 weeks and by 4 weeks, two of the six animals had died.

Information on anti-nutritive factors in the forage of perennial *Sesbania* species is limited. An analysis of the phytochemical components of the foliage and flowers of *S. grandiflora* indicated the presence of sterols, saponins and tannins (Fojas *et al.* 1982). Ahn *et al.* (1989) found that *S. sesban* var. *nubica* contained no condensed tannins in fresh or oven-dried material but the concentration of total phenolics was 2.8% and 2.5% in the fresh and dried material respectively.

It appears that the most economically efficient and safest use of perennial *Sesbania* forage for ruminants is as a protein supplement to low quality roughages such as crop residues or dried grasses. This dilutes the effects of anti-nutritive factors and greatly improves the utilisation of the roughages. Gutteridge and Shelton (1991) found no toxic or anti-nutritive effects of *S. sesban* on the health of heifers grazing a mixture of *S. sesban* and the grass *Brachiaria decumbens* for a period of 15 months.

Perennial *Sesbania* forage is less suitable in the diets of monogastric animals. *Sesbania grandiflora* leaf meal progressively depressed chicken feed intake and body weight when incorporated at rates of 0, 5, 10 and 15% of the total ration (Prasad *et al.* 1970). Williams (1983), cited by Evans and Rotar (1987a), fed dried, encapsulated leaves of *S. grandiflora, S. formosa* and two varieties of *S. sesban* to week old chicks at 1% of body weight. All chicks died before the 5th day when fed *S. grandiflora* and *S. sesban* but no toxic signs were observed in those fed *S. formosa*. A. Ash (personal communication) reported high mortality of chicks fed *S. grandiflora* leaf meal in Western Samoa but Raharjo and Cheeke (1987) found that rabbits fed a concentrate diet with a supplement of *S. grandiflora* foliage gained 12.7 g/day with no apparent ill effects. Most of these reports indicate the need for caution before using perennial *Sesbania* species in the diets of monogastric animals.

**Fuelwood and Timber**

Information on the wood yields of the perennial sesbanias is limited. Onim *et al.* (1989) reported a yield of 16 t/ha of sun-dried wood from a 4 year old stand of *S. sesban* at a density of 1,600 plants/ha in Kenya while much higher yields of 63.5
t/ha were reported for *S. sesban* grown under rainfed conditions in Haryana, India (Singh 1989).

Despite the lack of detailed information on yield, von Carlowitz (1989) pointed out that *S. sesban* is popular for fuelwood because it produces a high woody biomass in a short time which, though soft, is a relatively smokeless, quick, hot burning kindling. The wood of *S. grandiflora*, on the other hand, is not highly valued for cooking as it has poor burning qualities and produces much smoke. The density of *S. grandiflora* wood increases with ageing and the timber from 5-8 year old trees can be used in house construction or as craftwood.

**Soil Fertility Improvement**

Annual *Sesbania* species such as *S. cannabina*, *S. rostrata* and *S. bispinosa* are widely used in Asia as green manures in paddy rice cultivation because of their ability to withstand waterlogging. *Sesbania sesban* is used to a lesser extent in this context but probably because it is not as fast growing as the annual species. Sivaraman (1951) reported a 20-40% increase in rice yields with the use of *S. sesban* leaf as a green manure in southern India. In an upland crop of maize in Sri Lanka, Weerakoon (1989) obtained grain yields of 1.9, 3.9 and 4.4 t/ha for control, *S. sesban* green manure and 96 kg N fertiliser/ha treatments respectively. In the green manure treatment, 4.4 t dry matter/ha was incorporated after 84 days growth with an N equivalent of 83 kg N/ha.

Onim *et al.* (1987) speculated that the perennial *Sesbania* species could fix up to 600 kg N/ha/year. They felt this was possible because *Sesbania* roots are readily infected by the less specific cowpea types of *Rhizobium* giving large numbers of active nodules. Under experimental conditions they reported a total nitrogen yield of 448 kg N/ha from the aerial biomass of *S. sesban* var. *nubica*. This was higher than that from *Cajanus cajan* but less than that from *Leucaena leucocephala*. Incorporation of up to 13 t dry matter/ha of *S. sesban* mulch improved maize and bean yields by 78% and residual effects lasted up to 3 years (Onim *et al.* 1989).

Yamoah and Getahun (1989) suggested that *S. sesban* is a promising shrub for alley cropping because it is easy to establish, it grows rapidly, coppices readily and provides mulch of high nutrient content (particularly N). Prunings from *S. sesban* in combination with moderate amounts of N and P fertilisers, increased yields of maize and beans in alleys 6 and 8 m wide in Rwanda (Yamoah and Burleigh 1988). However, these authors cautioned that the species is relatively short-lived and susceptible to nematodes and some crop pests and therefore should be combined with a longer lived truly perennial species for best results.

*Sesbania grandiflora* was declared inappropriate for alley cropping in the lowland humid tropics of Nigeria because it showed a high mortality of up to 80% when coppiced and produced less biomass than *leucaena* and *gliricidia* (Duguma *et al.* 1988).

**Other Uses**

The flowers and young leaves of *S. grandiflora* are edible and are often used as a vegetable to supplement meals. Tender pods may also be eaten as string beans. The dried leaves of both *S. grandiflora* and *S. sesban* are used in some countries as a tea which is considered to have antibiotic, anti-helminthic, anti-tumour and contraceptive properties.

Bark exudate and seed endosperm gums are produced by many species of *Sesbania*, but are not seen as an alternative to gum arabic (Anderson 1989). The taller species of perennial *Sesbania* such as *S. grandiflora*, *S. formosa* and *S. sesban* can also be used as shade trees for coffee, tea and cocoa as well as living
trellises for pepper and as windbreaks for citrus, bananas and coffee.

Conclusions

Although perennial Sesbania species play an important role as forage and green manure plants in a number of regions in the world, they are still relatively underutilised in many tropical and subtropical areas. There is scope for greater use of Sesbania species in ruminant feeding systems, particularly as high quality supplements to low quality roughages.

More research is required to determine appropriate management systems to maximise yields of edible material. More studies on the effects of direct grazing in extensive feeding systems are also warranted, particularly for S. sesban, to determine the effects of grazing on plant longevity.

It appears that the use of the perennial Sesbania species should be restricted to ruminants because of the deleterious effects often observed when they are used as feed sources for monogastrics. However, even with ruminants, there may be adverse effects on animal productivity and health when Sesbania comprises a high proportion of diets for long periods. Research is required to determine whether anti-nutritive factors are present in Sesbania forage and whether they can be controlled or reduced by management practices.

Greater use could be made of the ability of the perennial Sesbania species to grow in 'inhospitable' sites. Both S. sesban and S. grandiflora show some tolerance of soil salinity and alkalinity and both grow well in waterlogged environments. There are many situations in the tropics and subtropics where periodic inundation and/or saline encroachment severely restrict agricultural productivity. Perennial Sesbania species could be used to help reclaim some of these sites by providing green manure, mulch or high quality forage.
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